

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,755	03/29/2004	Lawrence W. Beason	702.337 4803	
7590 07/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Devon A. Rolf			BEAULIEU, YONEL	
GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1200 East 151st Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Olathe, KS 66	5062		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,755	BEASON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	٠, 🗀 ٠٠٠٠٠٠					

Art Unit: 3661

Double Patenting

Claims 7 – 9 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 39, 44, and 45 of copending Application No. 10/361,531. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 7 - 9 of this application conflict with claims 39, 44, and 45 of Application No. 10/361,531. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Claims 1 – 3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 30, 34, and 35 of copending Application No. 10/361,531. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because whether the user moves or not from the first location to the second location the intended elevation profile creation by the processor is achieved.

Art Unit: 3661

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 10, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCall et al. (US 6,459,990 B1).

Art Unit: 3661

Regarding claims 10, 11, and 13, McCall et al. teaches a navigation apparatus (figs. 1, 3 at least) comprising an input (11) for receiving location indication (using item 1223) from a user (col. 3: 19 – 25 at least); a three-axis magnetic sensor (2) for measuring the earth magnetic field in three directions and a two-axis tilt sensor for measuring an orientation of the device in pitch and roll (col. 3: 9 – 11 and 25 – 28; col. 11: 46 – 60 at least) and a processor including memory (5; see figs. 1, 3, 5) for creating an elevation profile and calculating a heading of the device based upon the strength of the field (col. 3: 16 – 58 at least); McCall teaches using GPS technology (col. 1: 28 – 64; col. 3: 1 – 3 at least).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCall et al. ('990) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of McMillan et al. (US 5,075,693).

As discussed above, McCall teaches all of the limitations except for the display and the altimeter.

Art Unit: 3661

However, McMillan teaches, in the same field of endeavor of navigation apparatus, using a display (24) and an altimeter (26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified McCall's teaching by including a display and an altimeter as evidenced by McMillan in order to provide for reliability and accuracy of the device.

Claims 1 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCall ('990) in view of McMillan ('693).

As discussed above, McCall teaches all of the limitations of claims 1-6 except for using a display (cl. 1) and an altimeter (cl. 3).

However, McMillan teaches, in the same field of endeavor of navigation apparatus, using a display (24) and an altimeter (26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified McCall's teaching by including a display and an altimeter as evidenced by McMillan in order to provide for reliability and accuracy of the device.

电解放函数 医乳状的 医乳液 医马克氏 医马克鲁氏试验检试验检试验检尿病 医抗原病 电电摄影

Art Unit: 3661

Both McCall and McMillan are somewhat silent on the idea that there is no requirement for a user of the device to move from one location to another.

However, such has not been shown to solve any stated problem in the art; overall, it appears the combination performs equally well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661